

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

		Washington, D.C. 20231					
		SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE		IRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
		07/110+699	09/2.3/8/	CARME			C 32300
		11. A 1. 25.274. 1 20.275. 4					
		FALOGH, OSA DVORAK, GEN	NN, KRAMER, JOUA AND TEX	us 7		EXAMINER	
		53 WEST JAC				TOE INDE	
		CHICAGO, IL				ARTHM	T PAPER NUMBER
						ARTUNI	· PAPER NUMBER
						DATE MAILED	07/11/88
		This is a communication	on from the examiner	in charge of your ap	plication.		
		COM	MISSIONER OF PAT	TENTS AND TRAD	EMARKS		
_							
ועצאַן	his a	pplication has been exa	mined Res		cation filed on		
A sh	ortene	ed statutory period for re	esponse to this action	is set to expire	$\overline{\underline{}}_{month(s)}$ , $\underline{\underline{}}_{da}$	vs from the date	of this letter
				ause the application	to become abandoned. 35	U.S.C. 133	or time letter.
D		THE COLL ON 100 A					
Part L		THE FOLLOWING AT Notice of References (			TION:  2. Notice re Patent	Oraming PTO-0	10
		Notice of Art Cited by	•		4. Notice of information		
5.		Information on How to	•		6. 🗀		
Part I	il	SUMMARY OF ACTION	4				
				,			
1.	P	Claims	1-13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		are pe	nding in the application.
	•	Of the above, c	laims		-	are wi	thdrawn from consideration.
_					•		
2.	لــا	Claims					peen cancelled.
3.		Claims				are al	lowed.
	<b></b>						
4.	74	)Claims	<del>-/-///</del>	110	<del></del>	are re	ected.
5.	B	Claims	8-10	13	:	are ob	jected to.
			)				•
6.		Claims			are sul	oject to restrictio	n or election requirement.
7.		This application has be matter is indicated.	een filed with informa	l drawings which ar	e acceptable for examination	purposes until su	ich time as allowable subject
8.		Allowable subject matt	ter having been indica	ted, formal drawings	s are required in response to	this Office action	
	_	The accepted as a least					
9.	لــا	The corrected or subst		een received on	The	se drawings are	acceptable;
			o on promotion,				
10.		The proposed draw	ing correction and/or	the proposed a	dditional or substitute sheet(	s) of drawings, fi	led on
		has (have) been a	ipproved by the examin	ner.  disapprove	d by the examiner (see explain	nation).	
11.		The proposed drawing	correction, filed		. has been approved.	disapproved	(see explanation). However,
					ges. It is now applicant's res		
		corrected. Corrections	s <u>MUST</u> be effected in	accordance with the			"INFORMATION ON HOW TO
		EFFECT DRAWING C	HANGES", PTO-1474.	•			
12.	$\Box$	Acknowledgment is ma	de of the claim for ori	ority under 35 U.S.C	C. 119. The certified copy ha	s Deen rece	ived not been received
	J		as at the elatin for pit	, unuci 00 0,0.0	commos copy no		
	_			· <del>-</del> ·	; filed on		
13		Since this application	annears to be in condi	ition for allowance	except for formal matters orn	secution as to the	merite is closed in

EXAMINER'S ACTION

accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

14. \_\_\_ Other

Serial No. 110,699

Art Unit 261

1. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-6, purportedly method claims, are worded in an entirely narrative, functional manner.

Method claims should, to the maximum extent possible, set forth limitations in a series of steps, for purposes of clarity. Claim 12 appears to be misdescriptive in that the cavity includes 15a of Fig. 7.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless-

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 6, 7, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Bose.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) and (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not

Art Unit 261

preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 3, 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Bose considered with UK 2160070.

Use of the particular structure of UK 2160070 with the Bose device would be obvious (substitution of similar functioning structure).

- 4. Claims 2, 8, 9, 10, and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. (Nok 112 rejection of cl. 2)
- 5. Clams 8, 9, and 13 recite limitations which are not illustrated as required by 37 CFR 1.84.

  Proposed illustrations should be submitted in the forthcoming office action.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Isen at telephone number 703-557-7730.

F. ISEN:pdh

703-557-7730

07-06-88

FORESTER W. ISEN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 261